1	Erwin Chemerinsky (pro hac vice)		
2	echemerinsky@law.berkeley.edu Claudia Polsky (State Bar No. 185505)		
3	cpolsky@law.berkeley.edu U.C. BERKELEY SCHOOL OF LAW		
4	Law Building Berkeley, CA 94720-7200 Talankana (510) 642-6482		
5	Telephone: (510) 642-6483		
6	Elizabeth J. Cabraser (State Bar No. 83151) ecabraser@lchb.com Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607)		
7	rheimann@lchb.com	NI II D	
8	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor	N, LLP	
9	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 956-1000		
10	Anthony P. Schoenberg (State Bar No. 203714)		
11	tschoenberg@fbm.com Donald Sobelman (State Bar No. 184028) dsobelman@fbm.com		
12	Linda S. Gilleran (State Bar No. 307107)		
13	Dylan M. Silva (State Bar No. 306363) dmsilva@fbm.com		
14			
15			
16			
17	San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 954-4400		
18	Facsimile: (415) 954-4480		
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
20			
21	NEETA THAKUR, et al.,	Case No. 3:25-cv-04737-RL	
22	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING	
23	VS.	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND	
24	DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,	PROVISIONAL CLASS CERTIFICATION AS TO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	
25	Defendants.	Date: December 18, 2025	
26		Time: 10:00 AM Judge: Hon. Rita F. Lin	
27			
28			

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND
PROVISIONAL CLASS CERTIFICATION - Case
No. 3:25-cv-04737-RL

 $46686 \hspace{-0.075cm}\backslash 20747416.2$

2	
3	
1	I

1

4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Provisional Class Certification ("Motion") came before this Court on December 18, 2025. The Court, after considering the briefing and argument of the Parties, and for good cause shown, HEREBY ORDERS that a preliminary injunction is entered as follows:

1. The Third Form Termination Class consists of:

All University of California researchers, including faculty, staff, academic appointees, and employees across the University of California system who are named as principal researchers, investigators, or project leaders on the grant applications for previously awarded research grants by the DOE that are terminated by means of a form termination notice that does not provide a grantspecific explanation for the termination that states the reason for the change to the original award decision and considers the reliance interests at stake, from and after January 20, 2025.

Excluded from the class are Defendants, the judicial officer(s) assigned to this case, and their respective employees, staffs, and family members.

2. As to the Third Form Termination Class:

- a. All grant terminations by the Department of Energy ("DOE") communicated by means of a form termination notice that does not provide a grant-specific explanation for the termination that states the reason for the change to the original award decision and considers the reliance interests at stake, which result in the termination of funding as to a member of the Form Termination Class, are VACATED.
- b. DOE is **ENJOINED** from giving effect to any grant termination that results in the termination of funding as to a member of the Third Form Termination Class, where the termination was communicated by means of a form termination notice that does not provide a grant-specific explanation for the termination that states the reason for the change to the original award decision and considers the reliance interests at stake.

//

- c. DOE shall **RESTORE** the affected members of the Third Form Termination Class to the status quo and **REINSTATE** the terminated grants.
- 3. The Equal Protection Termination Class consists of:

All University of California researchers, including faculty, staff, academic appointees, and employees across the University of California system who are named as principal researchers, investigators, or project leaders on the grant applications for previously awarded research grants by the DOE that were included in the 314 grants that DOE terminated on or around October 2, 2025, which Plaintiffs allege was done in violation of the equal protection guarantee of the Fifth Amendment.

Excluded from the class are Defendants, the judicial officer(s) assigned to this case, and their respective employees, staffs, and family members.

- 4. As to the Equal Protection Termination Class:
 - a. All of the 314 grant terminations effectuated by DOE in October 2025 that resulted in the termination of funding as to a member of the Equal Protection Termination Class, are VACATED.
 - b. DOE is **ENJOINED** from giving effect to any grant termination that results in the termination of funding as to a member of the Equal Protection Termination Class, where the termination was among the 314 grant terminations effectuated by DOE in October 2025.
 - c. DOE shall **RESTORE** the affected members of the Equal Protection Termination Class to the status quo and **REINSTATE** the terminated grants.
- 5. This relief applies on a prospective basis. While this matter remains pending, any future grant terminations by DOE meeting either or both of the above criteria are VACATED upon issuance, and the DOE is ENJOINED as to those terminations in the manner stated above.
- This order SHALL remain in effect until further order of the Court.
 This injunction shall apply to the and any officers, agents, servants, employees, or

3

28

26

27

attorneys of DOE or any of its subagencies. This injunction shall further apply to persons who are in active concert or participation with DOE's officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, including, but not limited to, Defendant Department of Government Efficiency. Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d)(2).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December ____, 2025

The Honorable Rita F. Lin United States District Court Judge

3:25-cv-04737-RL